

**Before the  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)	
18 FCC Rcd 13187, 13188 ¶1 (2003)	)	ET Docket No. 03-137
	)	
And	)	
	)	
Service Rules for the Advanced Wireless Services	)	WT Docket No. 12-357
H Block---Implementing Section 6401 of the	)	
Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of	)	
2012 Related to the 1915-1920 MHz and	)	
1995-2000 MHz Bands ¶53 footnote 95	)	

To: Office of the Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, DC 20554

Comment Filed by: Amy O'Hair  
432 Flood Ave  
San Francisco CA 94112  
amyohair@gmail.com  
415 334 5154

February 5, 2013

## **AFFIDAVIT OF AMY O'HAIR**

State of California

San Francisco County

I, Amy L. O'Hair, attest that my statements are true to the best of my knowledge.

**Comment** round for ET Docket No. 03-137 and WT Docket No. 12-357.

1. My name is Amy O'Hair. My address is 432 Flood Ave, San Francisco CA
2. I am a housewife, mother, and wireless-safety activist.
3. The scientific research on biological effects from low-level RF-EMR is not complete, but it is amply sufficient for the U.S. government to immediately exert more precautionary regulation and require commensurate precautions on the part of commerce and industry using and selling wireless technologies.
4. The American people have a right to exposure guidelines based on the biological effects of radio-frequency energy, not outdated ideas based in physics alone. The FCC is **not** the agency to conduct such a review, never having employed doctors or biologists, nor having as its purview such matters as environmental health effects.
5. In particular, under current guidelines, children's smaller and more vulnerable bodies and brains are not currently protected from long-term harm from wireless devices and wireless networks.
6. Animal studies clearly suggest that human babies in utero are likewise vulnerable; guidance should be given to pregnant women, along the lines of current warnings regarding consuming alcohol during pregnancy.
7. On behalf of the health and safety of U.S. citizens, now and into the future, please make a thorough-going science-based review of the outdated standards for radio-frequency exposure—by the appropriate U.S. government agency—a priority.

Respectfully submitted by

Amy O'Hair

432 Flood Ave

San Francisco CA 94112

February 5, 2013